#### SECRET/NOFORN

## **UNITED STATES**

# FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT

	SU	JPPLEMENTA	L ORDER		
surveillance ar	rch 5, 2010, in Docket d physical search auth Those authorizations in	ority on the terr	ns requested in the	-	ıt
	Prima	ary Order at 3.	The Court underst	ands that it is sometin	nes
unclear wheth	er the targeted		Both cases involve	e targets	
electronic surves 50 U.S.C. §§ 1 "the acquisition wire communituded States." mechanical, or information, or person has a reenforcement processing the communication operated by an	vernment's application eillance apply to 801(f)(2) or (f)(4). Seen by an electronic, medication to or from a personal section 1801(f)(4) other surveillance develor than from a wire of asonable expectation of arposes." A "wire composes." A "wire composes." A personal while it is being carried by person engaged as a confirmation of interstate or foreign."	ction 1801(f)(2) chanical, or other son in the United defines it to incide in the United radio community for privacy and a munication is ded by a wire, catcommon carrier	but the pertiner defines electronic er surveillance dev d Statesif such a clude "the installati d States for monito nication, under circ warrant would be defined at 50 U.S. able, or other like of in providing or op	at provisions appear to surveillance to include a surveillance to include of the contents of equisition occurs in the form or use of an electroring to acquire sumstances in which a required for law (C. § 1801(I) as "any connection furnished operating such facilities."	o be de any he conic

### SECRET//NOFORN

The government's submissions present the Court with ambiguities regarding the legal basis for If this type of communication is a "wire communication" pursuant to Section 1801(l), then its acquisition is excluded by definition from Section 1801(f)(4). Furthermore, Section 1801(f)(2) defines electronic surveillance as
are not "wire communications" pursuant to Section 1801(1), then the Court's orders would be confusing in that they authorize, under the rubric of "wire communications surveillance" (as described in the Standard Description of Means of Electronic Surveillance and Manner of Physical Search filed in Docket No., the acquisition of communications that are not "wire communications" as defined by the statute.
In view of these circumstances, and the likelihood that the government will continue to submit applications for authority to conduct electronic surveillance is hereby ORDERED as follows:
On or before May 7, 2010, the government shall make a written submission to the Court regarding the statutory basis for authorizing electronic surveillance
in the Standard Description of Means of Electronic Surveillance and Manner of Physical Search filed in Docket No.  This submission shall include:
(1) A technical description
(2) An explanation whether, or in what circumstances.
be understood as electronic surveillance as defined at 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801(f)(2), (f)(4), or some other portion of § 1801(f).
(3) A legal discussion of whether, or in what circumstances.
involve the acquisition of "wire communications" as defined at 50 U.S.C. § 1801(1), to include whether specified persons "common carriers."
GEODETI/NOEODN

### SECRET/NOFORN

(4) A legal discussion of whether the Court may authorize acquisition of lectronic surveillance under 50 U.S.C. § 1801(f)(2), and direct specified persons to assist in such surveillance.

(5) A discussion of any other issues the Court may need to be aware of in analyzing the Court's jurisdiction to order particularly when the

ENTERED this 5th day of March, 2010 in Docket Nos.

SUSAN WEBBER WRIGHT

Judge, United States Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court

450, canby transis document